

**From:** [PETERSON Jenn L](#)  
**To:** [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Round 3 Data  
**Date:** 10/21/2008 02:24 PM

---

I looked in QM and found that the coordinates for this bass sample (2E) provided by the LWG shows a GIS location of below RM2, even though the fish that went into the composite came from RM 1-2.8 (about 1/2 off OSM). Does the GIS location designate the river mile and subsequently whether it will be included or not? From your description below I would gather that it is not planned to be included?

-Jennifer

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov  
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, October 21, 2008 2:10 PM  
To: PETERSON Jenn L  
Subject: RE: Round 3 Data

Here is what I can tell you. Site-wide risks will be evaluated using all data meeting the appropriate level of QA collected between RM 2 and RM 11.8. The sediment and tissue samples collected downstream (RM 1-2 and the upper end of Multnomah Channel) and upstream (RM 11.8 - 12.2) will be evaluated through more localized risk scenarios (e.g., human health clam consumption). Bass will be evaluated on a RM and river side basis.

Thus, bass collected offshore of OSM on the east side of the river would be grouped together.

Eric

"PETERSON Jenn L" <PETERSON.Jenn@ eq.state.or.us>	Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA	To
10/21/2008 11:21 AM		cc
	RE: Round 3 Data	Subject

O.k. I can tell you that it will make a big difference in the risk assessment, which is why I am asking. Maybe we could get a table from the LWG that shows the distinction in the datasets before the report is produced so we are all on the same page?

-Jennifer

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov  
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, October 21, 2008 11:05 AM  
To: PETERSON Jenn L  
Subject: RE: Round 3 Data

I am not sure that I have a ready answer to that question. However, as I said it's complicated and we have only discussed it with respect to river mile.

Eric

"PETERSON Jenn L" <PETERSON.Jenn@ eq.state.or.us>	Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA	To
10/20/2008 04:51 PM		cc
	RE: Round 3 Data	Subject

I can give you a call, but I am really just trying to determine what the dataset will be for the next iteration of the risk assessment for some analysis I am doing.

The most pressing question I have is whether all Round 3B smallmouth bass data will be included or not. I am particularly interested in sample 2E. Most of the bass were collected at or just downstream of OSM.

-Jennifer

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov  
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 20, 2008 12:26 PM  
To: PETERSON Jenn L  
Subject: Re: Round 3 Data

I am not sure we have a document that specifies which samples are upstream/downstream. Basically, it is by river mile. There are a range of definitions. Maybe you should just call me for specifics.

Eric

"PETERSON Jenn	
L"	
<PETERSON.Jenn@	To
eq.state.or.us>	Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
	cc
10/20/2008 09:44	
AM	Subject
	Round 3 Data

Hi Eric,

Could you point me to the correct document that would show which samples (sediment, biota and bioassay) the LWG are considering "upstream / downstream" samples versus the "site" for the purposes of the risk assessment?

Thanks,

Jennifer